App. F. IX-4

Previous References. 1958 (June)

File No. 88-39.

Serial Nos. 41 & 42

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

PRESERVED

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for December 1941.

SUBJECT. Claims of Trustees Kashinath Jeli and Hari Ram Jeli of Benares.

No 1959. dated 18.3.1940
The Government Advocate

Is this not a reply may be sent from Jaunpur as the matter is still pending and he considers a fine of Rs under

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 [App F. III -2

4174/38



P. UMAKANT PANDE
ADVOCATE.
BHELUPUR.
BENARES CITY.

16th December 1938

VER URGENT. Query 88.58.41

REGISTERED A.D.

The Dewan Saheb,
Mysore State,
MYSORE.

24 1276

Dear Sir,

Re Encroachment by the Mysore State.

Para I I have the honour to draw your kind attention to my letter dated 8th September 1938 re the ~~above~~ encroachment over the land of my client at Harishchandra Ghat, Benares City, and request the favour of an early reply thereto.

Yours faithfully,
Umakant Pande

****

Law Secty.
1/XII
23/12
MR 2/12

Subo

There are no previous papers in the Law Secty relating to this case. The Muzr Br where the previous letter referred to might have been dealt with, may perhaps be asked to look into.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

23/12/38

WD 0544—GPB—30,000—2-9-38

4243/38
743

Re: Petition of K. Lingaraj

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

The connected file is put up. The Residency has been addressed to request the Govt of the United Provinces to acquire the land in question on behalf of the Govt in preference to have it by private negotiation with the party concerned. A reply is due to the Advocate of the party. Submit for orders.

1) Law Deptt may be consulted as regards the reply to be sent

Sd/-
23/12/38

(Govt's Advt
Law Section)

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
26 DEC. 1938
1803

Compliance
Sd/-

2) The Govt Advocate may kindly be requested to suggest a reply

Sd/-
28/12

Sd/- Illegible
Ltr No. P 32238 dated 3.1.1939.

Secy No. 550 dt 3.1.39

3) Forwarded to the Govt Advocate for suggesting a reply

Illegible
28/12

(10) Returned with compliments.

A draft reply on the following lines I think may be given:-

Secy No. 553
3.1.39
dt 30.1.39

"With reference

Serial No.

"With reference to your letters dated 8th Septr 1938 and 16th December 1938 addressed to the Dewan on behalf of your clients Kashi Nath Teli and Mani Ram Teli, I am desired to state as follows:-

"The Government are pleased to appreciate the spirit behind the offer made by you on behalf of your clients requesting them to give your clients compensation for the land alleged to have been encroached upon in the course of constructing a choultry. It need hardly be mentioned that the persons responsible for the alleged encroachment must have done it unwittingly as there were no boundary marks delineating the outer limit of the land in the possession of your clients.

"According to the report of the Officer of the State who inspected the spot, the encroachment as shown in the map enclosed with the first of your letters under reply is not correct either regarding the direction or area. It is learnt that there has been no encroachment on the Southern side nor could there be any since it is the river bed. The area encroached upon is 272 square ft. only in a portion of the plot No.1339 and not 823.11 Sq.Ft. as indicated in the map sent by you and referred to above.

"If you will kindly confirm what is mentioned above both in respect of the area encroached upon and of its situs, the matter will be taken up for further consideration.

Yours faithfully,
....."

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0544—GPB—30,000—2-9-38

I have not

Re Acquisition of certain land out of Katoka Ghat

Law File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

I have not proposed to offer any sum as compensation for the trespass, for two reasons. Firstly, because it may have unfavourable reaction on the party in admitting our data respecting the encroachment and secondly because it may have some adverse bearing on the amount to be fixed for the acquisition of the area if the Government of the United Provinces should eventually accede to our request to acquire the land for us. The question of offering a definite sum by way of compensation may safely and with advantage, await the reply from the party.

Mudr. Mallesa Lingarajappa
Government Advocate.

CSN/28.1.39

C 30/1/39

11) The draft reply seems suitable, and the Govt Advocate may be asked to issue the reply

BKR 30.1.39

Asst Secy)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND ME DE 19 L.
7065

2nd Member)

12) C 31/1/39

13) fr. C BKR 31.1.39
1.2

C 1/2/39
BKR
1.2

Re: Katcha Ghat at Benares

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App F. III—2

Serial No.

14

This may now be sent to the Govt. Advocate for issuing the reply proposed by him as ordered in paras 11 to 13 supra.

15 —

In favour of issuing a reply

BKR 2-2-39

Govt Advocate

16

D.O. No. 59 38-39 dt. 3.2.39

Returned with compliments.

17 A copy of the reply sent to the Advocate is enclosed herewith for information.

Mark Wallace Hannapp

Government Advocate.

CSN/3.2.39

18

If approved this will now be sent to the Mugrai Branch

19 Yes BKR 6-2

Mug Br)

20

21

May the receipt of the reply to the Govt. Advocate's letter No.601 dated 3rd instant be awaited? submitted for orders.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 0541—GPB—30,000—2-9-83

Acquisition of Katcha Khal Lands - Barisal

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

23 If approved this ~~must be sent to~~ may be requested to the Govt Advocate for kindly notice of a copy has received from the Advocate of the party to ~~file~~ the Govt. Advocates *: letter of 3rd July. 1939.

*: file

~~DS~~ 28/6 29.6.39.

24 We may file these papers for the information and orders as to what is to be done in the cases separately

Sd
29/6

Sep
29/6

Letter No 902 dated 1.6.39 Barisal H.C in Akbar Farhans a copy of the letter is duly received from the Advocate in person and the draft of a reply proposed to be sent to him for approval.

(25) Submitted for perusal. The draft reply proposed by the Govt Advocate to the parties, is for order.

26 The draft reply seems all right & may issue

Sd 9/6

DS 9/6
9/7 9.6.39.

Secy
2nd Member) 28/6 27 13/6 9/6 29/6

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL, 10 JUNE 1939 No. A 1933

GENERAL SECRETARY 9 JUNE 1939 46

Re: Kaseka Ghat at Benares 1581/132

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 193 P. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

(30) Actual reply to the Govt Advocate
is/put up. 31 from Ur 1446
Put
12/6 Ur Help

Letter No 72 dated 26.7.1929
from the Govt Advocate
forwards a copy of letter dated 19.7.29
send from Mr Pandit Umakant Pande
Advocate Benares City in reply to his (Govt
Advocate's) letter dated 16.6.1929.
32 Subd for perusal. Matter
seems called for at present, until a reply
is received from the Govt about
acquisition of the land in question.
Put 28/7 Secy
33 Ur 28/7
34 Ur 29/7

TYPING SEC'T

GENERAL SECRETARY. 29 JULY 1929 688

Ends B 29/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0544—GPB—30,000 —2-9-83

Acquisition of Kusteka Ghat at

1937
C.2

Mag File No. of 193 8. General and Secretariat

Letter No 152 dated 21.8.38
from The Govt Advocate
Bungalow

In continuation of his letter of the
26th July 1938 forwards for information
a copy of letter dated 12.8.1938
received from Mr Umakant Pandiya
of Barcaur

(35) Submit for general. Action
is being taken to have the land
acquired. Question seems called
for on this at present.

Agt
26/8

(36) A reply may be sent
to the Govt Advocate

Ag
26/8

(37)
Submit with a draft reply to
the Govt Advocate.

(38) Done Ag.
25/8

U.U. dated 30.8.38
The Govt Advocate

Sir,

I am directed to
state that the S.P. Govt have been invited
to acquire the land in question and
that the matter is under correspondence

Sd
3/9

TYPING SECTION
AUG. 1939

Acquisition of Kutcha ghat at Benares

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 39 of 1938 [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Letter No 209 dated 11-9-39 from the Govt Advocate Bangalore

Acknowledges receipt of the letter dated 30.8.1939 and furnishes his suggestions for consideration of Govt & furnishing a reply to the Advocate General Koshwath Rao and Mari Rao Esqrs of Bangalore.

139 The suggestion of the Govt. Advocate is that a reply may be sent to the Advocate at Benares to the effect that the matter is under the consideration of the Government and that he will be replied in due course" instead of replying him that the question of acquisition of the whole land including the portion of the land in question is under correspondence between this Govt and the Govts of the United Provinces, [The former suggestion would keep the door open to treat with the Zamindar for the purchase of the land in question in case acquisition of the land should fail through].

(40 Kindly see below.

BS 12/9 GS 12/9

41 The first suggestion may be adopted

BS 12/9

Secy (43) 12/9

2nd member 12/9

13 SEP 1939

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 13 SEPT 1939 No. 2154

Note: Contn. Sheet.

Ref File No. 64 of 1939

Serial No.

(44) A chaff reply to the Govt Advocate's [illegible]

Adv 14/9/39 (45) Issue Ltr 15/9

Affidavit 584 C, 19.9.39
The Govt Advocate
[illegible] that he was [illegible]
a reply to the Govt's Advocate
re Divorce, as they forked note

Lus D 19/9/39

Memo No 235 dated 22.9.39
from the Govt Advocate Bar giving
[illegible] for information & orders
[illegible] the matter
[illegible]

(46) Submit for perusal.

Ltr 24/9

Ltr 24/9

(47) Yes

Letter No 670 dated 28.2.1940
from the Govt Advocate enquiring
the continuation of his letter dated 22.9.39
forwarding a copy of the Panel's letter dated
21.2.40 in the matter

(48) Submit for perusal
49 The A.G. may kindly [illegible]
no reply has yet been
received from the D.P.P. [illegible]

BDS 1/3/40

Serial No.

about the reserve for mosque & the lands

(50) A draft letter to the Govt Advocate is put up. (51)

1/3

1940 date 4.3.1940

To The Exec. Engr. Benares

Received from the Govt. Advocate the proposed draft letter to be addressed to the Collector of Benares.

(52) The Govt Advocate proposes to send a letter to the Parks at Benares stating that the matter remains to be under the consideration of Govt. This would perhaps be objected. For orders

Dft (53) A draft is put up for consideration

17/3 CS 1943

17/3

53 For approval

57 From Ex. 1615.

56 1/3 (2 memoranda)

16/3 1940

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
12 MAR 1940
5613
Note—Contn Sheet
OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
12 MAR 1940
No. 7814

File No. of 1928

Serial No.

1957 dated 18-3-48

[illegible]

Memo 10 dated

[illegible]

(5th) The reply sent by the Govt Advocate to the Bank at Benares is in accordance with the instructions given. Lubd for perusal.

25/3

25/3 25/3

The land alleged to belong to the bank has been acquired by the Govt of the United Provinces at the instance and on behalf of the Govt. There is no longer any necessity to consider the claims or contentions of the bank in respect of the land in question. The papers will be filed if approved. as no action is called for

For orders

25/3/48

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ... 3rd February ..... 1939.

From

Mirle N. Lakshminarayanappa, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Government Advocate in Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

To

P. Umakant Pande, Esq.,
Advocate,
Bhelupur, BENARES CITY.

Sir,

With reference to your letters dated 8th September 1938 and 16th December 1938 addressed to the Dewán of Mysore on behalf of your clients Kashi Nath Teli and Rani Ram Teli, I am desired to state as follows:-

The Government are pleased to appreciate the spirit behind the offer made by you on behalf of your clients requesting them to give your clients compensation for the land alleged to have been encroached upon in the course of constructing a choultry. It need hardly be mentioned that the persons responsible for the alleged encroachment must have done it unwittingly as there were no boundary marks delineating the outer limit of the land in the possession of your clients.

According to the report of the Officer of the State who inspected the spot, the encroachment as shown in the map enclosed with the first of your letters under reply is not correct either regarding the direction or area. It is learnt that there has been no encroachment on the Southern side nor could there be any since it is the river bed. The area encroached upon is 272 square ft. only in a portion of the plot No.1339 and not 823.11 square ft. as indicated in the map sent by you and referred to above.

If you will kindly confirm what is mentioned above both in respect of the area encroached upon and of its situs, the matter will be taken up for further consideration.

Yours faithfully,

(Sd) Mirle N. Lakshminarasa

Government Advocate,
Mysore Government.

CSN.

Copy
Mirle N Shan
narasappa
Govt. Advocate.

This memo will be transferred to Mun B by taking action as the previous papers are in that B.V.

Del. 4/6/39

Have we no other papers relating to this subject in Brandt ?

BKR 4/6

Mr Beckett (Mr Bowker) on the subject
Papers are traceable
Maybe sent to Mun Br.
(To the Mun: Br)

BKR 7.6

Dear Mr. Ramaswamy

I think this
relates to the Muz: Board
I remember to have
a file referred for legal
opinion by the Muz:
in which there was some
dispute over a piece of land
acquired for the construction
of a Ghat in Benares city
etc this may relate to that
subject. Please Verify from
the opinion register and do
the needful.

3/6/39

7295
P

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 31st May *1939.*

1.6.39

From

The Government Advocate
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

The General Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY
2 JUNE 1939

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with my U. O. No.59 dated the 3rd February 1939, forwarding for the information of Government, a copy of tne reply sent by me to Mr. Umakanta Pande, Advocate, Benares City, regarding the claim of his clients Messrs. Kashi Nath Teli & Mani Ram Teli, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter dated 22-5-1939 received from the said Advocate, and the draft of a reply proposed for approval or orders. of the Govt

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

CSN.

Copy of letter No. Nil, dated 22nd May 1939 from P. Umakant Pande, Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City, to Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa, Esq., B.A.,LL.B., Government Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore.

"With reference to your letter No. 601 dated 3rd February 1939, I have the honor to enquire whether there is any likelihood of amicable settlement as my client is anxious to know the fact before going to Court to vindicate his rights.

"An early reply is solicited."

Mirle Lakshminaranappa
Government Advocate.

CSN/31.5.39

Draft.

To

P. Umakant Pande, Esq.,
Advocate,
Bhelupur, Benares City.

Sir,

Your letter dated the 22nd May 1939, written on behalf of your clients Messrs. Kashinath Teli and Maniram Teli, was duly received.

The letter mentioned above makes a reference to my letter No. 601m dated the 3rd February 1939, but does not advert to the point raised therein.

The Government have no intention of driving anybody to go to Court if it can be helped. It is precisely with that object that you were requested by me in my letter quoted above to confirm the correctness of the data which were placed before them, so that they may consider the question on the agreed basis of facts.

May I request you to refer to my letter mentioned above, viz., No. 601, dated the 3rd February 1939, and give your reply to it.

Yours faithfully,

.....

Government Advocate.

Draft.

Government Advocate.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mus 3

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 2165

To The Govt Advocate

Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 15/6/39

Signed by M.S.K.N. Iyer

With reference to your letter M902 dated 1.6.1939 I am directed to state that the draft ~~ekhy~~ kindly proposed by you to be ~~Memorandum~~ placed before Advocate Belgaum Benares ~~city~~ Regarding the claim of his clients ~~Messrs~~ Kashi Nath Teli & Rami Ram Teli, is all right and that the same may be done.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

By order

Sd/-

15/6

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 15th June 1939.

No. 2168/Misc

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Government Advocate,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.902 dated 1st June 1939 I am directed to state that the draft reply proposed by you to Mr. Umakanta Pande, Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City regarding the claim of his clients, Messrs. Kashi Nath Teli & Mani Ram Teli, is all right and that the same may issue.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

/

for General Secretary to Government

Als.

GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE'S CHAMBERS,
PUBLIC OFFICES,

Government Advocate

No. 72

Bangalore, 25th July ...1939.

25/7.

From

The Government Advocate
in Mysore, Bangalore.

GENL & REV. CLC
27 JUL. 1939
132
MUZRAI BP

To

The General Secretary to the Govt. of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
26 JULY 1939

Sir,

Re: Claim of Messrs. Kashi Nath Teli and Mani Ram Teli of Benares.

I have the honor to forward herewith, for the information of Government, a copy of letter No. Nil, dated the 19th July 1939, received from Pt. Umakanta Pande, - Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City, in reply to this office letter No. 935, dated the 16th June 1939, issued to him as desired in your letter No. 2168/Muz. dated the 15th - June 1939.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

Copy of letter dated the 19th July 1939, from -
Pt. Umakanta Pande, Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City,
to Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa, Esq., B.A., LL.B., -
Government Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore.

"With reference to your letter No. 935, dated 16th June 1939 I have the honor to inform that the area encroached upon is about 280 sq. ft. but my client is - willing to accept the encroachment at 272 sq. ft. in - order to put an end to the dispute.

"I hope you will advise your client to proceed reasonably in the matter".

Copy.

Mirle Lakshmi Narayanappa

Government Advocate.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE

In reply please refer to
No. 153

Bangalore, 21st August 1939.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
22 AUG. 1939

From

Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Government Advocate in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
22 AUG. 1939

Re: Mysore Chattram Premises at
Hanumanghat, Benares.

In continuation of my letter No. 72, dated the 26th July 1939 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith, for the information of - Government, a copy of letter No. Nil, dated the 12th August 1939, received from Mr. Umakanta Pande, Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mirle Lakshminaranappa
Government Advocate.

Copy of letter dated the 12th August 1939, from - Pt. Umakanta Pande, Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City, to the Government Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore.

"Sir,

"Re Encroachment of Mysore Chattram premises at Hanumanghat, Benares.

"With reference to my letter dated July 19, 1939, - I have the honor to enquire what has been decided by His Highness's Government regarding the encroachment.

"I may add that my client is desirous of parting with the whole land right up to the river brink and I should like to enquire whether the State would be willing to acquire the same.

"I have the honor to be,
"Sir,
"Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) "Umakanta Pande".

Copy.

Government Advocate.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Revenue

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 287

No. 441,

To

The Govt Advocate,
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 30-8-39.

With reference to your letter No 1521 dated 21st August 1939 regarding the claims of Messrs Kashi Nath Teli and Muni Ram Teli of Benares for admission to the list of persons to be included in the Register of the Moti ... Chaukram of Benares, I am directed to ...

Signed by S. K. V.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

that the ... is ... to the ... of the ... Chaukram ... Benares ...

V-

to acquire the whole land including

[illegible] His Highness' [illegible] for

[illegible] the chairman and

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible], we enter a wonderful [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

28/8/39

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L.C.1

Bangalore, Dated, 30th August 1939

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Government Advocate in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No152 dated the 31st August 1939 regarding the claims of Messers.Kashinath Teli and Mani Ram Teli of Benares for a portion of the land proposed to be included in the extension of the Mysore Government Chattram at Benares, I am directed to state that the Government of the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh have been moved to acquire the whole land on behalf of His Highness' Government for extension of the chattram and that the matter is under correspondence.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

mk.

Rao Bahadur N. Lakshmanappa,
B.A. LL.B.
Government Advocate.

GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE'S CHAMBERS
PUBLIC OFFICES,
Bangalore, 11th Sept.. 193 9..

D. No. 204



Confidential.

My dear Mr. Appaji Gowda,

Re: Claims of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and Mari Ram Teli of Benares.

...

I am in receipt of your letter No. 441/Muz. dated the 30th August 1939 on the above subject.

2. I thought over the matter of communicating the substance of your letter under reply to the Advocate at Benares. I think it may not be quite expedient to do so, unless we are absolutely certain that the Government of the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh will decide upon the acquisition, as in the event of their not doing so, the door should be left open to treat with the party for the purchase of the bit in question.

3. I wish to take the liberty to suggest to reply to the party that the matter is under the consideration of the Government and that he will be replied to in due course.

4. May I therefore request your kindness to submit the matter for the kind consideration of the Government and to obtain their orders on the same.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Wallis

J. Appaji Gowda, Esq., B.A., (Hons).,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mcg

File No.

Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to 396

No. 584.

To The Govt Advocate
Bungalows.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 19.9.39

Signed by Dep. S.K.V.

Dear Sir

Re. claims of Masts
Kushwah Teli and Kalwar
Teli of Benares.

With reference to your letter No
dated the 11th December 193
on the above subject I am
desired to state that a cert
map as mentioned in your letter
sent to the Advocate of the State
Council, in the event that the
matter is under Privy Council
proceedings, will be required
by the Privy Council Committee.

Yours faithfully

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

Jun 15/

In reply please refer to
No. 2314

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 21st September *193* 9.

From

The Government Advocate
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY
25 SEP.

To

Umakanta Pande, Esq.,
Advocate,
Bhelupur, BENARES CITY.

Sir,

Re: Claim of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and
Maniram Teli of Benares.

With reference to your letter dated the 12th August 1939 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the matter is under the consideration of Government and that a reply will be sent to you in due course.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- MIRLE N. LAKSHMINARANAPPA.

Government Advocate.

OSN/1.

Memo No. 253

Dated, Bangalore 21st Septr. 1939

2224

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments, to the General Secretary to Government, for information, with reference to his letter No.584/Muz. dated 19th September 1939.

Government Advocate.

CSN.

reply please refer to
No. 67.0.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, ...27th... February *1940.*

SECRETARY'S
FROM
29 FEB. 1940

GENERAL
1 MAR 1940
1408
BANGALORE.

MIRLE N. LAKSHMINARANAPPA, Esq, B.A., LL.B.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE.

To

The General Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

Re: Claim of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and
Maniram Teli of Benares.

In continuation of this office letter No. 235 dated the 22nd September 1939, on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith, for the information of Government, copy of letter No. Nil, dated the 21st February 1940, received from Mr. Umakanta Pande, Advocate, Benares City.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mirle Lakshminarayanappa

Government Advocate.

CSN.

Letter No. Nil, dated 21st February 1940, from P. Umakant Pande, Esq., Advocate, Bhelupur, Benares City, to The Government Advocate, Mysore Government, Bangalore.

******

"Re: Claim of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and Maniram Teli of Benares."

"With reference to your favour No.234 dated 21st September 1939, I have the honor to enquire whether the Mysore Government has come to any decision with regard to the above claim. The matter has been hanging on for a very long time and I would therefore request you to kindly treat this as urgent and send me a reply soon."

****

"C O P Y"

Murtendakkam Ramanappa

GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE.

CSN/27.2.40

SUBJECT...

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.. 1408

No. 1901

To

The Govt Advocate
Mysore Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 4-3-40

Signed by W. S. K. V.

Sir,

Re: claim of Messrs Kaval Natesiah and Mariah Tolls Q-Bonares

With reference to your letter No 670 dated 25.2.1940 on the above subject I am desired to state that no receipts have yet been presented in the Govt office under the Mysore Rent Act in respect of the rents in question.

List of enclosures ...

Copied by

Examined by

11.3.40

In reply please refer to
No. 692

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 6th March *19* 40.

8 MAR.

ROM

MIRLE N. LAKSHMINARANAPPA, Esq, B.A., LL.B., 9 MAR 1940
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE, 1478
BANGALORE.

To

The General Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
8 MAR 1940

Sir,

Re: Claim of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and Maniram Teli of Benares.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1901/Muz. dated the 4th March 1940 on the above subject, stating that no reply has yet been received from the Government of the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, I have the honor to request you kindly to let me know if I may reply the party, as was done with the approval of the Government on a similar prior occasion to the effect that the matter continues to be under the consideration of Government (vide: your letter No. 584/Muz. dated the 19th September 1939).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Mirle Lakshminarasinappa

Government Advocate.

.....

.....

.....

Section Accts

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1428

No 1959,

To

The Govt Advocate
in Mysore - Bangalore

Ref

With Ref. claim of
Messrs Kashinath Teli and
Manikam Teli of Benares
with reference to your letter No
892 / dated 8.3.1940 on the
above subject, I am directed
to state that a cheque may be
sent to the said claimants
to the effect that the matter
is now
~~Conditional Pending~~ under the
decision of Govt ~~that he can be~~
~~Represented~~

I have &c

for

Secretary to Govt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 18-3-40

Signed by Secl. S.K.V.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7 31

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 18 March, 1940.

No. 1959. GC-36-42

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Government Advocate in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Regarding claims of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and Kaniram Teli of Benares.

With reference to your letter No.692 dated 6th March 1940 on the above subject, I am directed to state that a reply may be sent to the party at Benares to the effect that the matter is still under the consideration of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

NDA .2

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, ... 20th March *19*40

FROM



MIRLE N. LAKSHMINARANAPPA, ESQ, B.A., BL.B.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

P. Umakanta Pande, Esq.,
Advocate,
Bhelupur, BENARES CITY.

SIR,



Re: Claim of Messrs. Kashinath Teli and Maniram Teli of Benares.

****

With reference to your letter dated the 21st February 1940, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the matter is still under the consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- MIRLE N. LAKSHMINARANAPPA.

Government Advocate.

CSN/1.

Memo No. 729

Dated, Bangalore 21st March 1940.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the General Secretary to Government, for information, with reference to correspondence ending with his letter No.1C 8/Misc. dated the 18th March 1940.

Government Advocate.

CSN.